MODELING ZONE DIAMETER MEASUREMENTS TO INFER ANTIBIOTIC SUCEPTIBILITY OF BACTERIA

Bettina Grün¹, Thomas Petzoldt² and Helga Wagner³

¹ Institute for Statistics and Mathematics, Vienna University of Economics and Business, (e-mail: Bettina.Gruen@wu.ac.at)

² Institute of Hydrobiology, Technische Universität Dresden (e-mail: Thomas.Petzoldt@tu-dresden.de)

³ Institute of Applied Statistics, Johannes Kepler University Linz (e-mail: Helga.Wagner@jku.at)

ABSTRACT: Disk diffusion tests are employed to determine the susceptibility of bacteria to antibiotics by measuring the zone diameter (ZD) of inhibition. Previous work suggested to use a composite model when modeling minimum inhibitory concentration measurements. This model combines a parametric distribution covering the range of observations from the susceptible component with a non-parametric distribution capturing the range of observations containing also resistant observations. We investigate the use of this model for ZD data and also consider a two-component mixture model combining the parametric distribution with the non-parametric distribution relaxing the split of the support for both distributions. We present maximum likelihood and penalized maximum likelihood estimation of both models using a normal or a skew-normal distribution as parametric distribution while taking the restricted support and the rounding of the data into account. We illustrate the use of these models in a simulation study on artificial data and on data available from the web page of the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST).

KEYWORDS: antibiotic susceptibility, composite model, disk diffusion test, mixture model, zone diameter measurement.

References

- AZZALINI, A. 1985. A Class of Distributions Which Includes the Normal Ones. *Scandinavian Journal of Statistics*, **12**(2), 171–178.
- JASPERS, STIJN, AERTS, MARC, VERBEKE, GEERT, & BELOEIL, PIERRE-ALEXANDRE. 2014. Estimation of the Wild-Type Minimum Inhibitory Concentration Value Distribution. *Statistics in Medicine*, 33(2), 289–303.