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#### Abstract

A dissimilarity $d$ on a set $X$ is said to be Robinson if there exists a total order, said compatible, on $X$ such that $x<y<z \Longrightarrow d(x, z) \geq \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}$. Roughly speaking, $d$ is Robinson if the points of $X$ can be represented on a line $i e$. Robinson dissimilarities generalize line distances.

In this paper, we define $k$-dimensional Robinson dissimilarities, which generalize the possibility, for a metric set $(X, d)$, to be embedded into a $k$-dimensional Euclidean space. This generalization is more flexible than the classical embedding and we show that every dissimilarity on an $n$-set $X$ is $(\log n)$-dimensional Robinson. We give an $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ algorithm which builds such an embedding. This algorithm is based on an incremental algorithm to recognize Robinson dissimilarities.
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## 1 Introduction

Given a finite set set $X$, a dissimilarity on $X$ is a symmetrical function $X \times$ $X \longmapsto \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that $\forall x \in X, d(x, x)=0$ (we say that $(X, d)$ is a dissimilarity space). Dissimilarities generalize distances (a distance is dissimilarity with the triangular inequality).

Given a dissimilarity on a set $X$, a fundamental problem is to derive "geometrical" properties of $X$ from $d$, or to characterize dissimilarities from which such properties can be obtained. For instance Robinson dissimilarities (Robinson 1951) correspond to points on a line. These dissimilarities were invented to solve seriation problems in Archeology, but they are now a classical tool for seriation problems in any field. They are also linked with Pyramids (Diday 1986, Durand \& Fichet 1988), the standard model with overlapping classes. Moreover, they play an important role ro recognize tractable cases for TSP (Çela \& al. 2023).

In this paper, we generalize Robinson dissimilarities to $k$ (-dimensional)Robinson dissimilarities, which represent the fact for $X$ to be embedded into a $k$-dimensional space. This embedding is less strict than the usual Euclidean
embedding. We show that, if $d$ is a dissimilarity on a set $X$ with $|X|=n$, then $d$ is $(\log n)$-Robinson and we give a $O\left(n^{3}\right)$ algorithm which builds such an embedding. This algorithm is based on an incremental algorithm to recognize Robinson dissimilarities which is presented in the last section.

## 2 Robinson dissimilarities

A dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ is Robinson if there exists a total order, which is said to be compatible, on $X$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x<y<z \Longrightarrow d(x, z) \geq \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $(X, d)$ a dissimilarity space and $<$ be an order on $X$. Notice that $<$ is a compatible order of $(X, d)$ (which is thus a Robinson space) if and only if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x \leq y<z \leq t \Longrightarrow d(y, z) \leq d(x, t) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a total order $<$ on $X$ and $x, y, z \in X$, we say that $y$ is between $x$ and $z$ for $<$ if $x<y<z$ or $z<y<x$. The set of the elements between $x$ and $z$ is an interval for $<$ and we denote it by $[x, z]_{<}$. Notice that $[x, z]_{<}=[z, x]_{<}$.

## 3 Multidimensional Robinson dissimilarities

Let $(X, d)$ a dissimilarity space and $k \in \mathbb{N}_{1}$. We say that $(X, d)$ is $k$-Robinson if there exist $k$ orders $<_{1},<_{2}, \ldots,<_{k}$ such that:

$$
\forall x, y, z, t \in X,\left(\forall 1 \leq i \leq k, y, z \in[x, t]_{<_{i}}\right) \Longrightarrow d(y, z) \leq d(x, t)
$$

We say that $(X, d)$ is $k$-quasi-Robinson if there exist $k$ orders $<_{1},<_{2}, \ldots,<_{k}$ such that:
$\forall x, y, z \in X,\left(\forall 1 \leq i \leq k, y \in[x, z]_{<_{i}}\right) \Longrightarrow d(x, z) \geq \max \{d(x, y), d(y, z)\}$
If $k=1$, it is equivalent for a dissimilarity space to be Robinson or 1-quasi-Robinson. For $k \geq 2$, then if $(X, d)$ is $k$-Robinson, then $(X, d)$ is $k$-quasiRobinson, but the converse is false (see Figure 1). Notice in addition that, if $(X, d)$ is $k$-(quasi-)Robinson, then $(X, d)$ is $k+1$-(quasi-)Robinson. The smallest $k$ such that $(X, d)$ is the Robinson dimension of $(X, d)$.

If a metric space $(X, d)$ can be embedded into a $\mathbb{R}^{k}$, then $(X, d)$ is $k$ Robinson. But the Robinson dimension of $(X, d)$ is generally smaller. For instance, if $|X|=n$ and $d$ is the constant dissimilarity, then $(X, d)$ is Robinson (its Robinson dimension is 1 ) although it needs an $n-1$-dimensional Euclidean space to be embedded. Moreover, we have:


Figure 1. $A$ set $X$ with four points $x, y, z, t$. If $(X, d)$ is 2-quasi-Robinson with the two orders represented by the two axis, then no condition is imposed on $d(y, z)$ and we can set $d(y, z)>d(x, t)$. If $(X, d)$ is 2-Robinson (with the same orders), then $d(y, z) \leq$ $d(x, t)$.

Proposition 1 The Robinson dimension of a dissimilarity space $(X, d)$ with $|X|=n$ is $\leq\left\lceil\log _{2}\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil\right\rceil+1$.

Algorithm 1 returns an approximate value for the Robinson dimension of a dissimilarity space.

```
Algorithm 1: APPROXIMATE-ROBINSON-DIMENSION
    Input: \((X, d)\), a dissimilarity space.
    Output: An upper bound on the Robinson dimension of \((X, d)\).
    begin
        \(X^{\prime} \leftarrow X ; k \leftarrow 0 ;\)
        Sort-LinEs \((X, d)\);
        while \(X^{\prime} \neq \emptyset\) do
            \(S \leftarrow\) MAXIMAL-ROBINSON-SUBSPACE \(\left(X^{\prime}, d\right)\);
            \(X^{\prime} \leftarrow X^{\prime} \backslash S\);
            \(k \leftarrow k+1 ;\)
        return \(\left\lceil\log _{2} k\right\rceil+1\);
```

The function $\operatorname{Sort-Lines}(X, d)$, for every $x \in X$, sorts the points of $X$ by increasing values of their distance from $x$. This function runs in $O\left(n^{2} \log n\right)$ where $n=|X|$. The function MAXIMAL-ROBINSON-SUBSPACE returns a subset $S$ of $X^{\prime}$, maximal for inclusion and such that $(S, d)$ is Robinson. This can be easily implemented by a greedy algorithm. We will see in Section 4 that, after Sort-Lines, such a greedy version of MAXImAL-Robinson-Subspace runs in $O\left(\left|X^{\prime}\right|^{2}\right)$. So, as there is at most $n / 3$ iterations of the while loop, Algorithm 1 runs in $O\left(n^{3}\right)$.

## 4 An incremental algorithm to recognize Robinson dissimilarities

In order to implement Maximal-Robinson-Subspace, we need a function ADD-AND-TEST which takes as entry a dissimilarity space ( $X, d$ ), a set $S \subset X$ such that $(S, d)$ is Robinson, the PQ -tree $\mathcal{T}_{P}(S, d)$ and a point $x \in X \backslash S$. A PQ-tree (Booth \& Lueker 1976) is a data structure which can encode all the compatible orders of a Robinson dissimilarity. ADD-AND-TEST returns the PQ-tree $\mathcal{T}_{P}(S \cup\{x\}, d)$ (If $(S \cup\{x\}, d)$ is not Robinson, then $\mathcal{T}_{P}(S \cup\{x\}, d)=$ none). The algorithm of ADD-AND-TEST can be sketched as follows:

1. Compute the sets $B_{\delta}^{S}:=B_{\delta}(x) \cap S$.
2. Insert the sets $B_{\delta}^{S}$ into $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{P}}(S, d)$. We get a PQ-tree $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{P}}{ }^{x}(S, d)$.
3. Add the point $x$ to $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{P}}{ }^{x}(S, d)$. We get the PQ-tree $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{P}}(S \cup\{x\}, d)$. This will be done in two steps:
(a) Consider only the points of $S$ the closest from $x$.
(b) Consider the other points of $S$.
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